ESIC2024, Vol 8.2, S2 Posted: 04/09/2024

Integrating Technology in the Classroom: Challenges and Opportunities for Teaching Basic Science through Innovative Strategies

Martha A. Eguía Alarcón¹, Juan Paulo Marín Castaño², Diego Patricio Hidalgo Cajo³, Wilmer Orlando López González⁴

¹Escuela Profesional de Educación, Universidad Nacional de San Antonio Abad del Cusco, Martha.eguia@unsaac.edu.pe

²Politécnico Colombiano Jaime Isaza Cadavid, juanmarin@elpoli.edu.co

³Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo, diego.hidalgo@unach.edu.ec

⁴Ciencias Experimentales, Universidad Nacional de Educación (UNAE), Chuquipata,
Azogues, Ecuador, wilmer.lopez@unae.edu.ec

Abstract

The integration of technology in the classroom has significantly transformed the teaching of basic sciences. This article explores the challenges and opportunities teachers face in adopting innovative technology tools to enhance learning in subjects such as mathematics, biology, physics, and chemistry. Through a bibliographic review and a field study in educational institutions, the effects of these tools on the understanding of complex concepts, student motivation, and the role of the teacher are analyzed. The results suggest that while there are barriers such as lack of adequate training and limited resources, innovative strategies such as gamification, virtual labs, and interactive platforms offer significant opportunities to improve learning outcomes. It is concluded that continuous training and equitable access to technology are key to maximizing the positive impact of these tools in the teaching of basic sciences.

Keywords: Educational technology, innovative strategies, basic science teaching, gamification, virtual laboratories.

1. Introduction

In the last decade, the integration of technology in the educational field has generated significant transformations in the way students learn and teachers teach, especially in the teaching of basic sciences. This phenomenon responds both to the accelerated development of digital tools and to the need to modernise traditional teaching methods to adapt to the demands of a globalised and digital society (Arias & Sánchez, 2021). Technological advances, such as artificial intelligence, virtual laboratories, and interactive platforms, have opened up new possibilities for personalizing learning and improving the understanding of abstract concepts in subjects such as mathematics, physics, chemistry, and biology (González et al., 2020).

However, despite the obvious benefits that technology can bring to the teaching-learning process, its adoption has not been homogeneous or free of difficulties. Recent research has identified a number of barriers that limit full technological integration in classrooms, especially in resource-limited contexts. These barriers include the lack of teacher training and training in the effective use of technological tools, inequality in access to devices and internet connections, and resistance to change from some educators who still prefer traditional teaching methods (Pérez et al., 2022). These limitations raise important questions about how to balance technological innovations with the practical realities of the school environment.

At the pedagogical level, the teaching of basic sciences faces particular challenges due to the abstract and, at times, difficult to visualize nature of many of its concepts. The basic sciences require not only the transmission of theoretical knowledge, but also a deep understanding of phenomena and principles that are often experienced through practice and experimentation (Martínez & Hernández, 2023). In this sense, technology can act as an effective mediator to facilitate these educational experiences through simulations, virtual labs, 3D visualization tools, and interactive learning applications (Fernández & López, 2021). These tools allow students to conduct experiments and observe scientific phenomena that would otherwise be difficult to replicate in a traditional classroom setting due to time, space, or resource constraints.

As for the most widely used technological strategies, gamification has gained ground as an effective tool to motivate students and improve their commitment to learning basic sciences. Gamification involves the incorporation of game elements into the educational process, which allows learning to be transformed into a more dynamic and attractive experience (Jiménez et al., 2020). Recent studies have shown that gamified environments not only increase student motivation, but also promote greater knowledge retention, especially in complex and abstract topics (Ramírez & Gutiérrez, 2021).

In addition, collaborative learning platforms and online formative assessment tools allow teachers to monitor student progress in real-time and offer personalized feedback. Not only does this help improve learning outcomes, but it also allows for more effective identification of areas where students need additional support (Torres et al., 2023). However, the success of these technological strategies depends to a large extent on the willingness of teachers to adapt to these new approaches and on equitable access to technology within the educational community (García & Ramos, 2022).

In this context, this article aims to analyze both the challenges and opportunities offered by the integration of technology in the teaching of basic sciences, with special emphasis on innovative strategies such as gamification, virtual laboratories and interactive platforms. Through a literature review and a field study in educational institutions, it seeks to provide a holistic vision of how these technological tools can contribute to improving academic performance, increase student motivation and facilitate the understanding of complex concepts, while addressing the obstacles that still persist in their implementation.

2. Theoretical framework

The theoretical framework that supports the integration of technology in the teaching of basic sciences is based on several educational theories and pedagogical approaches that have been recontextualized from recent technological advances. In this sense, constructivist and socio-constructivist theories stand out, as well as approaches based on active learning, which have proven to be particularly suitable for the incorporation of digital tools in the classroom (Piaget, 1970; Vygotsky, 1978).

Theory of Constructivism and Technology

Constructivism, proposed by Jean Piaget, postulates that learning is an active process in which students construct their own knowledge based on interaction with their environment. In the current context, digital technologies provide new opportunities for students to become active builders of their knowledge through interactive platforms, simulations, and virtual laboratories (Martínez & Hernández, 2023). These tools allow students to explore complex concepts in a practical way, at their own pace, and receive immediate feedback, facilitating a deeper understanding of scientific principles.

Recent studies have shown that the use of interactive technologies in the classroom improves information retention and the practical application of acquired knowledge. For example, a study by González et al. (2020) found that 78% of students who used virtual simulations in their chemistry classes showed a better understanding of chemical equilibrium concepts compared to those who followed a traditional approach. This reinforces the idea that technology can facilitate the active construction of knowledge in the basic sciences.

Socio-constructivist Theory and Collaborative Learning

From the perspective of Vygotsky's (1978) socio-constructivism, learning is a social process mediated by the interaction between individuals and cultural tools, which now include digital technologies. Peer-to-peer collaboration, facilitated by technology platforms, has become a key strategy in science education. Tools such as collaborative learning platforms and online forums allow students to interact, share ideas, and solve problems together, which reinforces social learning (Pérez et al., 2022).

In particular, collaborative learning platforms have proven effective in improving performance in basic sciences, by providing spaces where students can work together to solve complex problems. A study by Jiménez et al. (2020) showed that students who participated in collaborative projects mediated by technologies, such as Google Classroom and simulation platforms, performed better in physics and mathematics compared to those who worked individually.

Innovative Learning Strategies with Technology:

Gamification

Gamification is an educational strategy that uses elements of games, such as levels, rewards and immediate feedback, to make learning more attractive and motivating. In the context of basic ESIC | Vol. 8.2 | No. 52 | 2024

sciences, gamification has been particularly effective in improving student motivation and engagement (Ramírez & Gutiérrez, 2021). Tools such as Kahoot, Classcraft and Quizizz have been widely used to introduce gamification in classrooms, generating an environment of friendly competition that stimulates the active participation of students.

Table 1: Impact of Gamification on Basic Science Teaching

1		2
Gamification Strategy	% of teachers who use it	Effect on student motivation
Kahoot	60%	25% increase in participation
Quizizz	45%	20% improvement in information retention
Classcraft	30%	15% increase in intrinsic motivation

Ramírez and Gutiérrez (2021) showed that the use of these tools not only increases student engagement, but also significantly improves learning outcomes, particularly in subjects such as physics and biology.

Virtual Labs and Simulations

Virtual labs allow students to conduct scientific experiments in a simulated way, replicating phenomena that would be difficult or dangerous to observe in a traditional classroom setting (Fernández & López, 2021). These tools allow students to explore concepts in physics, chemistry, and biology interactively, and gain a clearer understanding of the principles underlying such phenomena.

Recent studies have shown that virtual labs are particularly useful in teaching abstract concepts that require visualization and hands-on experimentation. According to Torres et al. (2023), 85% of students who used virtual labs in their physics and chemistry classes reported a greater understanding of topics such as quantum mechanics and molecular structure, compared to those who only had access to theoretical classes.

Table 2: Impact of Virtual Labs on the Understanding of Scientific Concepts

Study Area	% improvement in comprehension	Tool used
Chemistry (chemical equilibrium)	78%	PhET Simulations
Physics (quantum mechanics)	85%	Labster
Biology (molecular structure)	80%	Visible Body

Using these tools allows students to conduct experiments safely, without the time, resource, or safety constraints they might face in a physical lab. This not only improves their understanding, but also allows for the repetition of experiments, which reinforces learning (Jiménez et al., 2020).

Formative Assessment with Technologies

Another key strategy in technology-supported basic science teaching is formative assessment, which allows teachers to monitor student progress in real-time and adjust their teaching strategies as needed (García & Ramos, 2022). Platforms such as Google Forms, Socrative, and Moodle have been widely used to implement formative assessments, providing teachers with detailed data on areas where students are struggling and enabling timely interventions.

3. Methodology

This study is developed under a mixed approach, which combines quantitative and qualitative techniques to provide a comprehensive vision on the integration of technology in the teaching of basic sciences. The mixed approach is suitable for this type of research, as it allows for the collection and analysis of both numerical and descriptive data, which is essential to understand not only the frequency and effectiveness of technological strategies, but also the perceptions and experiences of teachers and students around their implementation (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018). The procedures used in data collection and analysis are described below.

Research Design

The research was divided into three phases:

- Bibliographic review: A systematic review of the literature on the use of technology in the teaching of basic sciences was carried out, with a focus on studies published between 2019 and 2023. The search was carried out in academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science and Google Scholar, using keywords such as "educational technology", "gamification", "virtual laboratories" and "basic sciences". 50 articles were selected that met the inclusion criteria, such as being peer-reviewed, being published within the last five years, and addressing basic science teaching with innovative technologies (Khan et al., 2020).
- Questionnaires to teachers: To collect quantitative data, a structured questionnaire was designed for 100 teachers of basic sciences in secondary education institutions in different regions. The questionnaire included questions related to the use of technologies in their classes, the specific tools used (such as virtual labs, gamification platforms, etc.), and the obstacles they face in their implementation. Responses were measured on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree" (Jiménez et al., 2020).
- Semi-structured interviews: For the qualitative component, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 10 experts in educational technology, who provided their perspective on the challenges and opportunities faced by teachers when integrating technological tools in the teaching of basic sciences. The interviews were recorded and transcribed for subsequent thematic analysis, using NVivo software to identify recurring patterns and themes in the responses (Merriam & Tisdell, 2021).

Participants

Study participants were selected through purposive sampling. 100 science teachers (mathematics, physics, chemistry and biology) from public and private secondary schools were included. These teachers were selected based on their previous experience in the use of educational technologies in the classroom. In addition, 10 edtech experts with at least 5 years of experience in the field of teaching with digital tools were selected. This sampling was considered adequate to obtain a representative and diverse view of the integration of technology in the educational context.

ESIC | Vol. 8.2 | No. 52 | 2024 2099

Table 1: Characteristics of the Participants

Type of participant	Total Number	Experience in years (average)	Gender (%)
Teachers	100	8	45% Men, 55% Women
Technology experts	10	12	60% Men, 40% Women

Instruments

- Questionnaire: The questionnaire for teachers included 20 questions distributed in four sections: demographic characteristics, experience in teaching basic sciences, use of technologies in the classroom, and perceived barriers to technological integration. The questionnaire was validated by a group of experts in pedagogy and educational technology, and a pilot test was carried out with 10 teachers to ensure the clarity of the questions and construct validity (Vázquez & Rodríguez, 2021).
- Interview guide: The semi-structured interviews with the experts were conducted following a guide that covered the following topics: advantages of technological tools, challenges in their implementation, impact on student performance, and recommendations to improve technological adoption in schools. The interviews lasted between 45 and 60 minutes, and were conducted virtually to ensure the participation of experts from different geographical locations (García & Ramos, 2022).

Procedure

The investigation procedure was carried out in the following stages:

- Quantitative data collection: The questionnaires were distributed in digital format through platforms such as Google Forms, and the anonymity of the participants was guaranteed. The data were collected over a two-month period (January-February 2024).
- Qualitative data collection: Interviews were conducted in March 2024 through video calls. Each interview was recorded with the consent of the participants, and then transcribed for further analysis.
- Data analysis: Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS software, where measures
 of central tendency and dispersion were calculated. Regression analysis was used to identify
 possible relationships between technology use and student performance in basic sciences. For
 the qualitative analysis, NVivo software was used to perform thematic coding and extract
 common patterns in the experts' responses.

Data analysis

• Quantitative analysis: The results of the questionnaire were analyzed using descriptive statistics and correlation analysis. The relationships between variables such as the level of experience of teachers, the frequency of use of technologies in the classroom and the perception of benefits and barriers were explored. Regression analyses were performed to determine whether the use of technological tools had a significant effect on student performance in basic sciences (Pérez et al., 2022).

Qualitative analysis: The interviews were subjected to a thematic analysis to identify the main challenges and opportunities perceived in the integration of technology. The most recurrent themes included the lack of teacher training, infrastructure limitations, and the potential of technologies to improve the understanding of complex concepts (Merriam & Tisdell, 2021).

Reliability and validity 6.

Various strategies were implemented to ensure the reliability and validity of the study. In the case of the questionnaires, an internal consistency analysis was performed using Cronbach's Alpha coefficient, obtaining a value of 0.85, which indicates high reliability (Vázquez & Rodríguez, 2021). For the interviews, data triangulation was used, comparing the experts' responses with the existing literature and the results of the questionnaire to ensure consistency of the findings.

Table 2: Internal Consistency Analysis of the Questionnaire

Questionnaire section	Number of items	Cronbach's alpha
Use of technologies in the classroom	8	0.82
Barriers to integration	5	0.87
Perception of the impact on learning	7	0.85

4. Results

The results of the study reveal several key findings regarding the integration of technology in basic science teaching. Through the combination of quantitative and qualitative data, teachers' perceptions of the use of technologies, the most significant challenges they face, and the impact of innovative strategies on student performance were identified.

Use of technologies in the teaching of basic sciences

85% of the teachers surveyed indicated that they use some type of technology in their basic science classes, with the most common tools being collaborative learning platforms (Google Classroom, Moodle), simulations and virtual laboratories (PhET Simulations, Labster), and gamification tools (Kahoot, Quizizz). However, the frequency of use varies, with 35% of teachers reporting daily use, while 50% indicated that they use these tools occasionally, mainly once or twice a week.

Table 1: Frequency of use of technologies in the classroom

Frequency of use	Percentage of teachers (%)
Daily use	35%
Weekly use	50%
Monthly usage	10%
They do not use technology	5%

ESIC | Vol. 8.2 | No. 52 | 2024 2101 These data suggest that, although most teachers have adopted technological tools in their teaching, there is still a considerable percentage who do not use them frequently or constantly, which could limit the positive impact of these tools on student learning (Jiménez et al., 2020).

Impact of Technology Strategies on Student Achievement

One of the main findings of the study is the positive impact that educational technologies have on student achievement. 70% of teachers using technologies such as virtual labs and simulations indicated that their students show a better understanding of complex basic science concepts, especially in physics and chemistry, where phenomena are difficult to visualize or replicate in a physical environment.

For example, teachers who use PhET Simulations reported a 25% improvement in student grades on electricity and magnetism, compared to those who do not use this tool. Similarly, the use of virtual labs like Labster resulted in a 20% increase in grades in molecular biology.

impact of teemhorogram tools on academic pe			on academic per
	Technology tool	Matter	% Grade Improvement
	PhET Simulations	Physics (electricity)	25%
	Labster	Molecular biology	20%
	Kahoot	Chemistry	18%
	Google Classroom	Mathematics	15%

Table 2: Impact of technological tools on academic performance

Qualitative data also corroborate these findings. During the interviews, edtech experts highlighted that virtual simulations and labs allow students to "experiment" with concepts in a more interactive and visual way, making them easier to understand and retain (Torres et al., 2023). One expert indicated that these tools "make the invisible visible", which is especially important in sciences where many phenomena cannot be directly observed (García & Ramos, 2022).

Challenges in the implementation of technology

Despite the reported benefits, the study also revealed significant challenges in integrating technologies into basic science classes. 65% of teachers cited lack of adequate training and training as a significant barrier to the effective use of these tools. While many teachers recognize the potential of technologies to enhance learning, they report that they do not feel sufficiently prepared to use them optimally.

Likewise, 50% of teachers indicated that the technological infrastructure of their institutions is not adequate to guarantee an effective use of digital tools. This includes issues with internet connectivity, lack of up-to-date equipment, and limited availability of devices such as computers or tablets for students.

Table 3: Main barriers to technological integration

Barrier	Percentage of teacher	s (%)
Lack of teacher training	65%	
Insufficient technological infrastructure	50%	

Barrier	Percentage of teachers (%)
Resistance to change	30%
Lack of time to plan the use of technologies	40%

In addition, qualitative data confirmed that resistance to change remains a challenge for some teachers, especially those who have been teaching for many years with traditional methods. One teacher noted that "although technology offers many advantages, it requires a change in the way teachers teach and in the mindset of teachers," which can be an obstacle to its widespread adoption (Pérez et al., 2022).

Perceptions of gamification and collaborative learning

The results also indicated that gamification and collaborative learning strategies have been well received by both teachers and students. 60% of teachers who use gamification tools, such as Kahoot and Quizizz, reported that these strategies improve student engagement and make classes more dynamic and engaging.

Likewise, 75% of teachers who use collaborative learning platforms such as Google Classroom reported an improvement in collaboration between students, which has led to an increase in problem-solving and peer-to-peer learning.

Table 4: Impact of gamification and collaborative learning on student motivation

Strategy	Percentage of improvement in motivation (%)
Gamification (Kahoot, Quizizz)	25%
Collaborative Learning (Google Classroom)	30%

These results underscore the potential of technological strategies not only to improve academic performance, but also to increase student motivation and engagement, key factors in learning success (Ramírez & Gutiérrez, 2021).

Proposals for improvement

From the findings, several areas for improvement were identified. Both teachers and experts pointed out the need to develop continuous training programs for teachers to acquire technological skills. In addition, it is crucial to improve the technological infrastructure in educational institutions, guaranteeing access to the internet and adequate devices for all students (García & Ramos, 2022).

5. Conclusions

The results of this study demonstrate that the integration of innovative technologies in the teaching of basic sciences has a significant positive impact on both academic performance and student motivation and engagement. Tools such as virtual laboratories, simulations, and gamification platforms have allowed teachers to present scientific concepts in a more dynamic and interactive way, facilitating the understanding of complex phenomena that have traditionally been difficult to teach using conventional methods (González et al., 2020; Jiménez et al., 2020).

ESIC | Vol. 8.2 | No. S2 | 2024 2103

One of the main findings is that the use of technologies in the classroom improves the active participation of students, promoting greater retention of information and encouraging autonomous learning. Collaborative learning platforms and simulations have been shown to be especially effective in teaching sciences such as physics, chemistry, and biology, where experimentation is key to understanding abstract concepts (Fernández & López, 2021; Torres et al., 2023). In this sense, virtual laboratories allow students to experiment with scientific phenomena in a safe environment and without limitations of time or resources, which improves both their conceptual understanding and their performance in academic assessments (García & Ramos, 2022).

However, despite the benefits observed, the study also identifies several challenges that limit the full integration of these technologies in the classroom. The lack of teacher training is one of the barriers most cited by the study participants, indicating the need to develop specific training programs in the pedagogical use of educational technologies (Pérez et al., 2022). It is essential that teachers are not only familiar with the technological tools available, but also have the necessary skills to effectively integrate them into their teaching strategies, adapting them to the different contexts and needs of their students (Ramírez & Gutiérrez, 2021).

In addition, inequality in access to technological infrastructure remains a persistent problem in many educational contexts, especially in public institutions or in rural areas. To maximize the potential of technological tools in the teaching of basic sciences, it is necessary to ensure that all students have equitable access to technological devices and an adequate internet connection (García & Ramos, 2022). A lack of these resources can deepen learning gaps, disproportionately affecting those students who already face economic or social challenges.

Another important aspect to highlight is the resistance to change on the part of some teachers and school administrators, who may see the adoption of new technologies as a threat to traditional teaching methods (Pérez et al., 2022). This phenomenon highlights the importance of fostering an institutional culture that values innovation and supports teachers in the gradual adoption of technological tools through resources and continuous training.

In summary, while technology has the potential to revolutionize basic science teaching, its successful implementation requires a holistic approach. This includes not only investing in technological infrastructure, but also creating teacher professional development programs, promoting education policies that prioritize equitable access to technology, and fostering an educational culture that embraces innovation. By addressing these challenges, the positive impact of technologies on basic science learning can be maximized, better preparing students to face the challenges of the 21st century.

WORKS CITED

Arias, M., & Sánchez, J. (2021). Integration of educational technology in the classroom: Current challenges and future opportunities. Educational Technology Review, 45(3), 225-238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10502-9

- Creswell, J., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). Designing and conducting mixed methods research (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Fernández, P., & López, C. (2021). Virtual laboratories in science education: Enhancing student learning and engagement. International Journal of Science Education, 43(4), 569-585. https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2021.1892071
- García, R., & Ramos, L. (2022). Equity and access in educational technology: Addressing digital divides in the classroom. Journal of Educational Policy, 37(2), 98-112. https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2021.1870534
- González, F., Vega, R., & Carrasco, M. (2020). The role of digital tools in enhancing science education: A systematic review. Educational Sciences, 10(8), 205-220. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10090205
- Jiménez, A., Fernández, R., & Salazar, G. (2020). Gamification in science education: Motivating students through play. Journal of Educational Technology, 32(1), 15-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jedutech.2020.01.004
- Khan, M. S., Salam, R. A., & Zary, N. (2020). A systematic review of the effectiveness of educational technologies in medical education. BMC Medical Education, 20(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-01945-4
- Martínez, J., & Hernández, E. (2023). Pedagogical strategies in the teaching of basic sciences: A technological approach. Contemporary Educational Innovation, 48(2), 150-168. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-023-10455-6
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2021). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Pérez, L., González, A., & Castillo, D. (2022). Teacher training and technology adoption: Overcoming resistance in the digital classroom. Journal of Educational Research, 90(2), 103-120. https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2022.1021442
- Ramírez, M., & Gutiérrez, P. (2021). Impact of gamification on science learning: A longitudinal study. Educational Research Review, 42(5), 101-115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2021.100153
- Torres, H., Rodríguez, C., & Martínez, J. (2023). Formative assessment and real-time feedback in science education: A technological perspective. Journal of Science Education and Technology, 33(2), 89-105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-022-09931-9
- Vázquez, F., & Rodríguez, A. (2021). Ensuring reliability in mixed-methods research: Guidelines for researchers. International Journal of Educational Research Methods, 39(3), 219-235. https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727X.2021.1820462
- Zichermann, G., & Cunningham, C. (2023). Gamification by Design: Implementing Game Mechanics in Web and Mobile Apps. O'Reilly Media.

ESIC | Vol. 8.2 | No. 52 | 2024 2105